

## WASHINGTON STATE SEX OFFENDER RISK LEVEL CLASSIFICATION REVISED 1999

AGENCY:
DSHS/DOC #:
DATE REVIEWED:
MAX DATE:  6. Use of force in sex/sex related convictions:
(most severe across all convictions) a. None
7. Total number of victims of all sex/sex related offenses:  a. None
8. Age of victims of sex/sex related offenses at time of offense (circle all that apply): a. Six or younger
Add a through e: Score:
SUBTOTAL 1 – 8:

a. Victim tied up	14. Number of significant/marital relationships:  a. Under the age of 25
10. Length of sexual offending history: (beginning with first offense)  a. Less than one0  b. One to five years1  c. Five years or more3  Score:	Complete only 15a. or 15b.  15a. (Adult) Employment history pattern:  a. Full time employed
11. Any felony committed upon previous release from institution/secure facility/halfway house:  a. Not applicable	15b. (Juvenile) Early school history pattern (Grades K through 6 only): a. Generally satisfactorily0 b. Moderate adjustment problems/multiple disciplinary actions and/or suspensions  c. Severe adjustment problems/frequent disciplinary actions and/or one permanent
12. Alcohol/drug use pattern (12 months prior to most recent conviction): a. No interference with functioning	expulsion
13. Prior sex offender treatment/programming:  a. No involvement in sex offender treatment prior to current offense	Urophilia Rape NOS Transvestism Necrophilia  a. None

SUBTOTAL 9 - 16: \_\_\_\_\_

	ceration:		
a b		Victim(s) of the non-familial sex conviction(s) were     Particularly vulnerable or incapable of resistance due to     Physical or mental disability or ill health.     Y / I	N
	. Major disorganization/stress4  Score:	B. Sex conviction(s) was/were of a predatory nature or the non-familial offender used a position of community trust, (i.e., coach, teacher, group leader, or police officer), or professional relationship to facilitate the commission of non-familial sex offense(s).	N
	ge at release from institution/confinement:  30 or older	C. Offender continued to act out his/her sexual deviancy during incarceration.	
C	. 23 or younger4		
	Score:	D. Adult male offender has a RRASOR score of 4 to 6 Y / N  Rapid Risk Assessment for Sexual Offense Recidivism	N
19. C a b	History of major discipline report not involving violence4	1. Prior sex offenses (not including index offenses):  a. None	
		a. More than 250 b. Less than 251	
	c. Recommended and successfully Completed	_	
	Sex offender treatment during current incarceration:  Not recommended/unknown0  Recommended and successfully completed	Score:	
	Score	SUBTOTAL 17-21:	
		Total Notification Considerations:	

The remaining items refer to the offender's CURRENT term of PART II: OTHER NOTIFICATION CONSIDERATIONS

## PART III: DEPARTURE LANGUAGE

The risk level is calculated from aggregating the risk facts and other standard notification considerations is "presumptive" because the Department/Committee may depart from it if special circumstances warrant. The ability to depart is premised on a recognition that an objective instrument, no matter how well designed, will not fully capture the nuances of every case. Not to allow for departures would therefore deprive the Department/Committee of the ability to exercise sound judgment and to apply its expertise to the offender. Of course, were there to be a departure in every case, the objective instrument would be of minimal value. The expectation is that the instrument will result in the proper classification in most cases so that departures will be the exception not the rule.

Scientific literature demonstrates that intrafamilial sex offenders pose a lower risk of recidivism than other types of sex offenders. Additionally, the group of persons to whom they pose a risk upon release (judged by the identity of their past victim (s) is very small compared with other offenders. Therefore, in order to effectuate the goal of the notification statute, offenders who are strictly intrafamilial offenders will presumptively be classified as Risk Level I.

Generally, the Department/Committee may not depart from the presumptive risk level unless it concludes that there exists an aggravating or mitigating factor of a kind, or to a degree, not otherwise adequately taken into account by the guidelines. Circumstances that may warrant a departure cannot by their nature be comprehensively listed in advance. The departure must be justified in writing and have the support of the majority of Department/Committee members.

Subtotals 1 – 8:	
Subtotals 9 – 16:	
Subtotals 17 – 21:	
Grand Total =	
Total Number of Notification Considerations:	
Level 1 = Assessment score 46 or less and no notification considerations.  Level 2 = Assessment score 46 or less and/or 1 - 2 notification considerations.  Level 3 = Assessment score 46 or less and/or 3 or 4 notification considerations, or 4 notification considerations.	or assessment score 47 or higher.
RISK LEVEL:	
DEPARTURE JUSTIFICATION:	
ESR CHAIR OR DESIGNATED AGENCY STAFF:	
AGENCY WITH JURISDICTION:	

## FINAL RISK LEVEL (circle one only): I, II, III

Note: If the Risk Level by the ESRC is different from the final Risk Level, the departure justification of this form must be completed.